

September 27, 2021

Chairman of the Board John Hidahl Supervisor George Turnboo Supervisor Wendy Thomas Supervisor Lori Parlin Supervisor Sue Novasel

RE: Four alternative maps for redistricting

Dear Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

After reviewing the recently released four (4) alternative maps for redistricting, we requested a population breakdown for 95762 Zip Code. Jennifer Carlton, GS Analyst, Surveyor's Department shared the following breakdown, see attachment.

Our position remains constant as it was in 2010 redistricting efforts, July 29, 2011 letter attached: "that for any map to meet the mandates of common interest and compactness, and to ensure that the suburban communities in the Western slope are legally and fairly represented, the new redistricting maps needs to include two districts with majority of suburban representation." For this reason, we respectfully ask that Board of Supervisors directs the County Surveyor to provide an alternative with this outcome prior to adopting one of the four alternative maps released.

We request that a goal for redistricting include El Dorado Hills being divided into two districts, each totaling approximately 23,303. We look forward to seeing another alternative map reflecting this request.

Thank you for considering our views for fair and balanced representation of the El Dorado Hills community.

Respectfully,

**Debbie Manning** 

## Attachments:

- 1. Letter to BOS, July 15, 2011
- 2. Letter to BOS, July 29, 2011
- 3. Redistricting Population Breakdown for 95762 Zip Code



July 29, 2011

The Honorable Raymond J. Nutting, Chairman of the Board El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 330 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667

**Dear Supervisor Nutting:** 

Thank you for considering and acting favorably on our request for more time to look at the latest redistricting map created by the County Surveyor's office. Our Board immediately met following the July 19 decision to continue the item until August 8, and furthered the discussion when we met with you and Supervisor Knight on Tuesday, July 16.

It is our position that for any map to meet the mandates of common interest and compactness, and to ensure that the suburban communities in the Western slope are legally and fairly represented, the new redistricting map needs to include two districts with majority suburban representation. For this reason, we respectfully ask that the Board adopt one of two options:

1) Adopt Supervisor Knight's proposed map that addresses the concerns of the EDCCRG.

It is our understanding that Supervisor Knight is working on a map that, in concept, supports this idea of two suburban districts. We support that effort, believe that this option is the best for all of El Dorado County, and would not only get the map in line with the law; it would also mend the "cracking" of Cameron Park that exists with the current map.

2) Adopt a map that divides El Dorado Hills into no less than two and no more than three districts, with two of the districts not having less than approximately 12,000 from El Dorado Hills.

This option is far less desirable and is offered only out of respect for the Board's direction to staff to only consider changes to the current map that affect Districts I and District II.

Thank you for considering our views and we look forward to your continued consideration of this important issue.

Respectfully,

Debbie Manning, President & CEO – El Dorado Hills Chamber of Commerce

July 15, 2011

The Honorable Raymond J. Nutting Chairman of the Board El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 330 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667

Dear Supervisor Nutting:

The El Dorado County Board of Supervisors accepted the Compiled Alternative Redistricting Map and direct staff to adjust the map using precinct lines and property lines to bring forward a final Redistricting Map for final adoption on Monday, June 27<sup>th</sup>.

The Compiled Alternative Redistricting Map was released at the meeting with no opportunity for preview by the voters of this County.

Below our paragraphs from our fist letter sent January 14th:

In your efforts to correct the supervisorial-district inequities resulting from substantial western-slope growth during the past decade, the El Dorado Hills Chamber of Commerce respectfully asks that the Board carefully examine District 2, now the county's most populated district, and the only one expected to realize an appreciable level of population growth in the foreseeable future due to approved development plans.

Our concern for District 2 is under representation for its residents and businesses—from Pollock Pines to El Dorado Hills. The district's geographical challenges are also a factor, but they pale in comparison to its current and future population issues that impact the county as a whole.

After reviewing the Compiled Alternative Redistricting Map it appears that you completely misunderstood our concerns. District I includes 35,806 people, all of which reside in El Dorado Hills, compared to an estimated 6,000 El Dorado Hills residents in District II hardly addressed our concerns. District II, while much different from the current map, still reflects under representation for El Dorado Hills. This is not what we said, nor is it acceptable.

We respectfully request that the item on final adoption be continued for 3 weeks from the July 19<sup>th</sup> meeting; Last Item on Agenda, Board Chambers: Preview Final Map.

Yours sincerely,

Debbie Manning
President/CEO

CC: The Honorable John Knight, El Dorado County Supervisor, District 1
The Honorable Jack Sweeney, El Dorado County Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Ron Briggs, El Dorado County Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Norma Santiago, El Dorado County Supervisor, District 5
Terri Daly, El Dorado County Chief Administrative Officer
Louis B. Green, El Dorado County Counsel



## Redistricting - Population Breakdown for 95762 Zip Code

Alternative #1 – City Based Alternative

District 1: 36,693District 2: 9,118

• District 4: 796

Alternative #2 - Community Services District Based Alternative

• District 1: 36,451

• District 2: 6,046

• District 4: 4,110

Alternative #3 – Community of Interest (COI) Based Alternative

• District 1: 36,818

• District 2: 9,118

• District 4: 671

Alternative #4 - Community Region Based Alternative

• District 1: 38,372

• District 2: 918

District 3: 214

• District 4: 7,103

## DISCLAIMER:

Zip code boundaries don't always follow census block lines which causes some census blocks to only fall partially within a zip code. Since the population distribution cannot be determined by census block data, the total populations calculated above are approximations. The total population for zip code 95762 is estimated to be 46,607 based on census blocks within the zip code boundary.